UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,362	06/15/2005	Ralph Kurt	NL 021426	7350
24737 7590 08/17/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			MULVANEY, ELIZABETH EVANS	
BRIARCLIFF I	CLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,362	KURT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elizabeth E. Mulvaney	1794
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 c</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 100 ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-7,9-11 and 13-23 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-7, 9-11 and 13-23 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
	0.0	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the defended or b) for objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/7/09 has been entered.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant had included the limitation "wherein a distance between a surface of the asdeposited information layer and a surface of said at least one additional layer is adjusted to that interference is obtained between radiation reflected fro the surface of the as-deposited layer and from the surface of the additional layer". The specification shows that this is achieved by adjusting the thickness of the recording layers or by including a layer between the reflective layer and the recording layer. US 2003/0190551 not only has recording layers which fall within applicant's disclosed thickness range (3-70nm), but the reference also includes a layer between the reflective layer and recording layer. See Working Examples. The reference does not include the specific alloy combinations as claimed. However, US 6,033,752 shows that these are known, such as Bi-Sn or In-Sn. See col. 6-7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the alloy combination of the '752 reference for the alloy combination of the '551

reference. The substitution of one known recording material for another would yield predictable results.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims refer to "a surface" of the as-deposited layer and 'a surface" of the additional layer. This could refer to either the light-incident surface of the layers or the back surface of the layers. The specification implies that these are the light-incident surfaces. Clarification is required.

Claim 18 provides for the use of a medium, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 9-11, 13-14, 16-18 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0190551.

The reference discloses a recording medium comprising a substrate, a reflective layer, a dielectric layer, a two-layered recording layer, a dielectric layer and a cover layer. The reflective layer and first dielectric layer are equivalent to applicant's "additional" layers. The first dielectric layer can also be equivalent to applicant's "spacer" layer. See Figure 3 and explanation thereof. The thickness of the recording layers falls within applicant's disclosed range. Therefore, the medium would be capable of achieving the interference effectclaimed. The DVD is recorded upon with a laser which mixes the two layer of the recording layer to form recording marks. Reading is performed by detecting a difference in reflection of the recorded and unrecorded portions. See [0120].

Claims 2-7 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0190551 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US 6,033,752.

The '551 reference discloses the medium and method as described in the above rejection. It is recognized that the reference does not disclose the claimed combination of alloying materials.

However, the '752 reference shows that these combinations, such as Bi-Sn or In-Sn are known. See

cols. 6-7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the alloy combination of the '752 reference for the alloy combination of the '551 reference. The substitution of one known recording material for another would yield predictable results. Further, the '752 reference show that it is known to utilized double-sided media in increase the recording capacity.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth E. Mulvaney whose telephone number is 571-272-1527. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Ruthkosky can be reached on 571-272-1291. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth E. Mulvaney/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Application/Control Number: 10/539,362

Art Unit: 1794

Page 6